UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,085	04/14/2006	In Haeng Cho	9988.316.00	6685
	7590 12/03/200 DNG & ALDRIDG E L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREET, NW			KO, STEPHEN K	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/576,085	CHO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		STEPHEN KO	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)☑	Personsive to communication(s) filed on 17 lu	dv 2000				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 July 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	<i>,</i> —					
ا ال						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
ا ال	are subject to restriction and/or	cicolion requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/576,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Objections to claims 6-9 and 18 are withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 5 recites the limitation "controller" in L.7 of claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1792

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Choi (US 2006/0054198).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing

Art Unit: 1792

that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Choi teaches a controlling method for a dishwasher comprising the steps of operating a wash pump (Fig.2, #300, paragraph [0084]) and a discharge member (Fig.1, #45 and #43 as a whole), the discharge member switching between an upper arm and a lower arm, the switching of the discharge member between the upper arm and the lower arm occurring at least one (Fig.4, paragraph [0085]); determining by a control unit whether the switch discharge member is the upper arm before the cycle ends (Fig.4, #S130, paragraph [0087]); switching the discharge member to the upper arm when the upper arm when the determined discharge member is the lower arm (Fig.4, #S120, paragraph [0085]); operating the upper arm for a setting time; and performing remaining stages of the wash cycle and other operations (Fig.4).

Choi remains silent about the steps of selecting a wash cycle by a user and inputting operation commands; and beginning a cycle according to the selection of the wash cycle.

However, examiner takes official notice that the steps of selecting a wash cycle by a user and inputting operation commands; and beginning a cycle according to the selection of the wash cycle are well known and commonly used in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Choi by adding the steps of selecting a wash cycle by a user and inputting operation commands; and beginning a cycle

according to the selection of the wash cycle to optimize cleaning performance according to the condition of the dishes.

For claim 7, note that the discharge member has a running time depending on the operation of the wash pump.

For claim 8, note that Choi teaches operating the wash pump and the discharge member including switching the discharge member by the control unit when the running time of the wash pump reaches a first setting time (Fig.4).

For claim 9, note that Choi teaches operating a switched discharge member from the time of switching the discharge member until the running time of wash pump reaches a second setting time (Fig.4).

For claim 10, note that Choi teaches performing determining operation when the running time of the wash pump reaches the second setting time (Fig.4).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 7-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/576,085 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHEN KO whose telephone number is (571)270-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 7:30am to 5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Kornakov can be reached on 571-272-1303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,085 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SK /Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792